

**ATTENDEE RESPONSE SHEET**  
**ALBERTA ENERGY TENURE INFORMATION EXCHANGE**  
**APRIL 26, 2007**

**e-FREEHOLD MINERAL TAX**

1. *Did the presentation help you to understand what changes are planned for Freehold Mineral Tax?*

Not Applicable	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Somewhat Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5	6
4%	0%	1%	8%	66%	21%

*Comments:*

- Expect to receive an official document for this change, thanks.
- Great idea and will force greater communication between Tax and Land departments in larger companies.
- Brenda Curle did a good job describing this initiative and answering the many questions of attendees.
- Don't really deal with it.
- The automated system looks great; this should really lessen the administrative burden associated with FMT.
- Several questions on spacing orders, maybe EUB can also be brought in for a session in the future?
- I don't work with FMT so I didn't fully understand everything; but it looks like the new program will be useful.
- Unfortunately, I will not be involved in this area; however; very impressive too!
- I don't deal with FMT too much but I found it helpful to know there is somewhere to go for information if I have any questions to ask.
- Looking forward to see this process in place for next tax season.
- Very informative!
- I would agree with the comment made to have a course on this before the training sessions.
- Some FMT acronyms were only explained in question period.
- Multiple leases out of one title (I think) require multiple payors. Confidentiality of leases? Payor on one lease not entitled to information from other leases?(Zonal leases). Unit Value Calc; Co's have to look at their contracts and lease terms even if Crown Value is easier.
- I am really impressed with the information and the changes that are taking place. I can't wait to take the training course for this system.
- Brenda Curle was very well informed. Did an excellent job of answering audience questions.
- Reference to Information Letters – would like to have seen/been told which Information Letters.
- I don't handle FMT but it was interesting to learn about it.
- It sounds like it will be more onerous on industry to bill out partners for their share of the tax? What really is different in the process of receiving the invoices? I look forward to training and year one to identify how my job will be impacted.

- New system looks good.
- Excellent presenter.
- Not involved with the FMT but very impressed with this new tool.
- Have not been involved with FMT (handled by tax group) but excellent overview of the changes.
- Excellent overview. Looks like a wonderful program.
- Still unclear as to Lessee, if this is the well operator, what happens when more than one company is an operator on one title? Title = 1 sec, 4 wells, 4 different operators, who will be default lessee payor?
- Very informative. System looks very “user friendly” the way it’s been set up.
- Good presentation – clear and concise.
- Yes, it was very clear and concise – an in depth training session for those entering/administering FMT would be appreciated.
- I’ve never worked with FMT therefore I’m not aware of previous situation.
- Brenda Curle is an excellent instructor.
- Gave me a good or better understanding of the process for paying mineral taxes. I’m sure the new system will be most helpful to our tax department staff.
- Will take this information back to the office to share with the appropriate group, re: training session this fall.
- Good overview – looks like it will create a better system for all.
- Great initiative!
- Sounds great. When can you teach the other provinces all the e-processes that you’ve initiated and which work awesome!
- Looking forward to this going ahead.
- 

**ETS AND PASSWORDS**

2. *How satisfied are you with how e-Bidding has streamlined the bidding process for your company?*

Not Applicable	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
1	2	3	4	5	6
20%	1%	0%	0%	42%	37%

*Comments:*

- N/A I don’t have any part in e-bidding
- Brenda is a great speaker. Very informal, injects humour but also gets important information communicated.
- More so in the winter!
- e-bidding alleviates the “rush” to walk the bid to AMEC. I think it is great!
- I don’t work with e-bidding, but I know it’s helped others in the company.
- N/A; however; my land negotiators are very pleased with e-bidding.
- Great presentation.
- New company, have not registered yet.

- Security is important and very helpful to suggest password ideas. Online training will be useful.
- Brenda is always very informative as well as humorous and interesting to listen to – great job!
- Excellent talk as always.
- Only issues we have are when someone’s password decides not to work. This is a very real problem with ETS.
- Saves running at 11:30 on sale day!
- Not involved with the e-bidding process. Our land negotiators are responsible for this function.
- An e-mail notification in regard to the expiry of a password would be very helpful especially with companies which have multiple entries which they may not use every three months.
- Very smoothly from my personal experience, we would find out the results faster and have submitted copies for our files. I like how the lease can be downloaded as well.
- Excellent system.
- Haven’t used it personally but know it is working well in our company.
- To never be able to reuse a password can be difficult. Would it be unreasonable to have a time period of a couple of years?
- Although I don’t use the e-bidding, I have heard that the land negotiators like it.
- I do not handle any part of the e-bidding process at the company I work at, but I found it informative.
- Passwords still confusing (format) but understandable.
- Is there any thought to e-mailing of passwords in light of these changes?
- Impressed because you are forward-looking as always.
- Great idea for passwords.
- Excellent reminders and tips.

**WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW ABOUT ZDS AND DRRZDS**

3. *Did the presentation help you to better understand what ZDs and DRRZDs are and their application?*

Not Applicable	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Somewhat Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5	6
1%	1%	4%	30%	46%	18%

*Comments:*

- Yes, very technical and open to interpretation. Plan to review where we have zones described in ZDs
- Good speaker, very informative.
- I have not encountered these issues or scenarios. I found this presentation very interesting.
- A bit too technical for me.

- I don't think I know enough about ZDs and DRRZDs in the first place to fully understand the presentation; some points were useful and will attempt to learn more.
- Need some time to actually digest the information.
- I found the presentation helpful but I need to learn more background to really understand.
- I was confused as to the difference between ZDs/DRRZDs. I had it explained to me and it put everything else in better perspective.
- I think there's a lot more to this topic that could be covered, maybe in a CAPL course. The presentation helped but it went by fairly quickly and I'm not sure I caught everything, especially since it sounds like there are some problems/discrepancies that could/need to be sorted out with the Crown that could change things significantly for a company.
- Terrible speaker – not clear in his descriptions.
- Confused somewhat where did the ZDs come in – how can we identify that in our record?
- Good handout information and examples.
- Helpful information
- David was well informed.
- Very good speaker.
- Understand what they are – tough to know what to do about it. Have to check to see if CS Explorer will allow for ZD descriptions under the rights section.
- I'm currently working on a structured rights project and will be requesting the report to confirm our records.
- Would like to see a few more examples.
- With the new report identifying the ZD & DRRZDs for example 2219-1; is there a definition explaining what the ID# reflects e.g.: defined by depth and by a well ID? Please note I have not seen the LSAS report 106 but will look at it when I go back to the office.
- Will be more aware on our leases to check for these.
- I would like to find out more. There was a lot of information in a short period of time. Perhaps an example of a lease description and the map of zonal breakdown for that lease would be helpful. Also more on well logs and how they are created or used by the Crown would be beneficial.
- Good insight into issues that can arise.
- The diagrams did not copy very well in black and white and should have been designed so they would.
- Would like to see a training session offered to further understand the impact to the agreements as these notices always state that no rights have been lost.
- This is still an area of grey for me.
- Too technical for me – please offer this information to geologists and engineers.
- Very helpful.
- Very good.
- I often provide this information to the geologists but didn't understand how the geologists used it. I will start flagging the ZDs on leases to avoid trespass issues.
- Interesting – did not know this, so this was helpful.
- Well done – pictures were well thought out and easy to follow.
- He really knows what he's talking about.
- Don't handle these as much – don't have great knowledge.

## NEW AND REVISED FORMS

4. *What do you find most useful with the new or revised forms listed below?*

- Request for Well Re-entry Approval (Revised)

*Comments:*

- Adding more info on the form.
- Looks good but what about a form for transferring a well license to active mineral lease? I believe there was one but no longer?
- Streamlined.
- Consistency between forms.
- I didn't have a chance to look at it but from the presentation all the forms sound better and more useful.
- Haven't used form yet.
- I've not used it yet.
- Seems self explanatory.
- Yes – very useful
- User friendly.
- Will need to use to be able to provide more feedback to see how much better they are than the previous.
- Will be useful for landmen and drilling groups.
- Quick and Easy

- Request authorization for operations in Road Allowance (New)

*Comments:*

- Nice to have a form for this.
- Is this in addition to or in replacement of a direct purchase?
- Good – love forms.
- Consistency and easy to understand and provides the information that you need.
- I need to check rules first as I am ill-informed (my fault) on this issue.
- Good source to go to teach self.
- I've not had to use it yet.
- Straight forward.
- Standardizes information.
- Good to have copies of these on file.
- Absolutely wonderful, provides a guide to what information is required as there wasn't a lot of help on the website. Thank you and great job Raksha.
- Make application easier.
- Will be very helpful.
- Very useful
- User friendly, everyone will do the same.
- Will be useful for landmen and drilling groups.
- Quick and easy.

- Request authorization for operations in Undisposed Crown mineral rights (New)

*Comments:*

- Nice to have a form for this.
- Good – love forms.
- Haven't used form yet.
- Easy to understand.
- Standardizes the information.
- Good to have copies of these on file.
- Very useful
- Easy to understand. Good to know exactly what the DOE requires.
- Quick and easy

**The revised authorization form, along with a new guide, will be available on the department web site by May 1, 2007.**

- Authorization Form (Revised)

*Comments:*

- Looks good; easier to use.
- Looks great and will help reduce some questions with future applications.
- Part 2, nice to have all options added.
- Good changes!
- Good.
- Allows for more things to be authorized.
- Looks good.
- Clear, easy to read.
- Expanded clarity of form.
- Everything in one section makes things easier.
- Clarity to well authorization purposes.
- Organized well and methodically.
- Much more streamlined.
- Looks good - like that all types are listed under part 2 and that part 3 is clearer.
- Much clearer – great.
- The check box format seems very user friendly. I like how the choices and options are all in part 2. There are more options so less of a grey area. It will ensure that the forms are correct and lessen the amount of amendments submitted due to error.
- Clearer as to what information is requested.
- Love the changes to part 2.
- Like the way the choices are laid out.
- Looks good
- Like it better. Easier to explain what we need.
- Excellent.
- Easier to fill out.
- The guides are great.
- Looks good and straight forward.

**The revised licence validation and grouping application forms, along with updated guides, will be available on the department web site by May 1, 2007.**

- Licence Validation Application Form (Revised)

*Comments:*

- Clarifies things on the new form.
- Updating the form to be more aligned with continuations.
- Good
- More concise.
- Clarity of form is more useful.
- Will be using in May
- Excellent. Can't wait to try it out.
- I think that will be really helpful for any confusion with Licences.
- Includes space to request and 8(1)(h) extension.
- Clearer and concise format. Perhaps add "full" well logs required – admin overcompensate on info provided; landman/geology and minimal (what they think and what is required).
- Like the look much better, more clear what goes where.
- Application is much more specific than the last. Less room for error.
- Looks good
- Very good. I like the options we have to choose from.
- Looking forward to trying it out.
- Removal of rental.
- Looks good and straight forward.

- Licence Grouping Application Form (Revised)

*Comments:*

- Looks good.
- Good
- User friendly.
- Sections earned no longer required.
- Will be using in May, 2007
- Can't wait to try it out.
- Sections earned no longer required.
- Good.
- Like the look much better, more clear what goes where and that redundant info or n/a info is no longer required.
- Seems simpler and more clear than the previous form. Won't be searching the page for the specific information.
- Thank you for removing number of sections earned.
- Earned sections should be included so there is no confusion at time of validation.
- Looks good
- Like it.
- Authorization on form – save trees!
- Looks good and straight forward.

5. *Do you have any questions or concerns about any of the new or revised forms? Please elaborate.*

*Comments:*

- Need some time to look at and use the new forms before commenting.
- Nice to have guides as a reference.
- No; looking forward to using them. Also look forward to automated forms in future.
- I actually don't use any of these forms but used to use the older ones. I can see that the new forms are much more straight forward and more clear.
- All three forms are very easy to read and follow! Great job.
- Need time to review the forms.
- Brenda's presentation was too fast! Slow down.
- Gone through much too fast!
- Need more time to look at but at first glance appears to be more user friendly and specific than previous.
- All look more conclusive (more info) and straight. Presently I do not use these forms in my position, but think they would be great.
- Do not use these myself, but staff feedback says they are great idea.
- All are very easy to understand and complete. Excellent work!
- Excellent to have consistent forms to replace letters.
- Outstanding – AB Energy is always proactive – a step ahead ensuring you eliminate frustrations before they occur in a very fast paced environment.
- Industry notification when the old forms are no longer being used would be beneficial.
- Looks good and looking forward to becoming familiar with the changes as they all look like good changes. Thank you to the committees involved in the changes.
- Forms appear to be clearer which is great.
- All look good – can't comment otherwise as I don't personally fill out forms.
- They look really good and well thought out - know more after using them.
- I like how you've made the forms easier to use with different options to pick to better explain what we need.
- Will respond separately if I have questions once I start using the new forms.
- All amendments and clarifications are welcome.
- I do not utilize the forms on a daily basis; however, I now appreciate the importance of these forms and the detail that is required.
- They look like they have been simplified, but not enough time to review in depth. New authorization guide is good as we have a lot of new people coming into the industry. Also helps me keep SAIT update on the materials.
- These forms look good and easy to use.
- Revising the forms in conjunction with industry is a great idea as we are the people using the forms.
- I'm sure all enhancements and new forms are sound due to the input from DOE and industry. Haven't had a chance to use them yet. Glad you prepared the detailed "guides" as well.
- No concerns.
- Not at this time.
- Having the forms at all is very useful.

## GENERAL COMMENTS

6. *What one thing could we do to improve our business relationship with industry in the future when we work on joint projects involving industry?*

### *Comments:*

- Keep having info sessions. I find them quite useful.
- You're doing a great job as is!
- I think that your use of CAPLA etc has been a great effort to provide information to the industry.
- I think you are doing a good job in involving industry in changes and keeping us informed.
- We really enjoy the presentations to our individual companies you sometimes come down for. More of that would be beneficial.
- Don't know - I'm new to dealing with Crown.
- It seems that many people had questions re: identifying spacing units. It would be extremely helpful to have one source of searching for DSU information on specific lands and to be able to search and identify DSU easily
- You're doing great – very approachable.
- Nothing – great job; not broken, don't fix!
- I think you're currently doing a good job.
- Keep up the communication. You're doing a great job and we appreciate it.
- I feel the department of Energy does a great job of listening to industry and responding to many questions and concerns. Thanks.
- Flexible with time as company's are really busy.
- Look at spacing for wells and have that available to industry.
- Personally with being new in Minerals (ex-surface 5 years) staff is extremely helpful patient and good coaching. Well done.
- I have no areas that I see need improving. It's excellent how industry has been involved with the many changes.
- Doing a great job already.
- Just continue the outstanding support you provide us.
- Information session such as this.
- Update on Oilsands Tenure, use of forms, etc.
- My experience is strictly land based, but it may be nice to include smaller, abridged sessions for other departments (i.e. finance, geology, operations) that are directly affected by our work. For example the automated debit process for treasury department so they are aware of rentals, offsets, bidding and other charges through ETS or a session where geosciences group can see what the Crown expects to see as a package for a successful continuation so they are not forgetting anything.
- You are doing a wonderful job already – great improvements.
- You are doing a great job of advising everyone through CAPL, CAPLA, etc. as well as setting up advisory groups.
- Place contact names and phone numbers on the forms.
- Continue communication.
- I think the department is doing an excellent job in this area. Also, I want to comment on the helpful and easy to work with staff at the Crown. Thank you for doing such a good job.

- Need to address turn around on decisions. Time is an issue and the Crown is not providing an efficient response in a reasonable time.
- Collect requests and comments to proposed changes.
- Try and make sure that small companies are included – we work differently than large companies.
- You seem to be doing a wonderful job.
- Spacing units and holding information.
- Keep us posted!
- You're great! Every time I've had a concern/question someone has walked me through it.
- Not sure if you publish this presentation on line or not, but it would be a good reference for those unable to attend.
- Just keep involving the industry.
- Advising industry and allowing industry input.

7. *What topics interested you the most at this year's Information Exchange?  
Respondents.*

<u>98</u>	e-Freehold Mineral Tax
<u>98</u>	ETS and Passwords
<u>104</u>	What You Need to Know About ZDs and DRRZDs
<u>107</u>	New and Revised Forms

*Comments:*

- FMT – glad to know it but don't use on a regular basis in a "Land Department" role, although maybe now we will.
- Question period beneficial – thanks.
- Much too fast.
- All presentations were very informative.
- All were applicable today.
- The 90 day password expiring is a hassle when our company does not use ETS that often.
- A lot of land people don't deal with mineral taxes.
- I think my relative degree of interest relates to my responsibilities in the company. Most would be more interested in the forms (and that is okay).
- As always – very informative. Also nice to put faces to names.
- I have not worked in land administration since 1980. I found it enlightening and very informative.
- All good information and very knowledgeable presenters.
- All were very interesting. Like to keep up with the changes and clarification of items. Did not understand complications with ZD or DRRZD – thanks for the clarity.
- The reason for the rating is that working for a larger company I do not have anything to do with FMT.
- All were good.
- Great presentations.

8. *Do you have any suggested topics for future Information Exchanges? Please list them below.*
- well transfers to active minerals
  - e-Continuations
  - holdings and downspacings
  - EUB spacing orders
  - Although I don't generally work with the forms or practices discussed today; it's beneficial to see what is going on in the industry and to know what my co-workers are doing again.
  - Geological information for continuations.
  - Live access to ETS so you can click and show.
  - Spacing units for wells; more specifically, special spacing.
  - Rentals and Procedures
  - Oilsands for Land Administrators is needed for better understanding of continuations and regulations.
  - Oilsands Tenure information.
  - As LSAS evolves, that would be very interesting.
  - As new procedures come about (also the website is great for information).
  - Holdings/special spacing, etc. Need to be able to access this information (or know how).
  - Quebec is becoming a gas producer. Are you inviting them for future sessions?
  - Rental review – improvements.
  - e- Continuations
  - Interesting training session might relate to that later question Brenda answered about registry, LSAS/ETC, DOE, spin, FMT, “etc.” and how the information is related and/or used between them. Not sure how we'd do that but it is curious.
  - Please, please get MB in here
  - Review of acceptable continuation packages.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

I like the set up of the room vs. previous years!  
Thank you - very informative and professionally presented.  
Appreciate being able to call the help line.